

Coherent states of the Euclidean Motion group and CR regularity

D. Barbieri*, G. Citti†

January 17, 2013

Abstract

We show how the coherent states transform associated to the irreducible representations of the Euclidean Motion group can give rise to a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, even if such representations are not square integrable. Once this result is established, we can characterize such Hilbert space for the case of a minimal uncertainty mother wavelet in terms of a complex regularity related to the natural almost complex structure of the group, in strict analogy with the Bargmann transform.

1 Introduction

We will deal with coherent states intended as a family of vectors in a Hilbert space generated as an orbit of a Lie group by means of the action of one of its unitary irreducible representations, a notion introduced by Gilmore [22] and Perelomov [32]. A crucial feature of this construction is that if the involved representation happens to be square integrable, i.e. its matrix elements with respect to any two vector of the Hilbert space are square integrable on the group with respect to the Haar measure, then such a family is total in the Hilbert space (see also [16, §14]). Moreover, it is usually overcomplete, that means that its elements do not constitute a basis, since they are generally not linearly independent. Linear decomposition on a coherent states system is commonly called Coherent States Transform, while the completeness of the system together with the square integrability give rise to a reproducing kernel Hilbert space [1]. We note that the hypothesis of irreducibility is, for many applications, drop out in favour of conditions that ensures completeness, typically involving the so-called mother wavelet, or fiducial vector, that is the Hilbert space element chosen as generator of the orbit [13, 25, 21, 9, 15].

*davide.barbieri8@gmail.com. Author partially supported by Progetto Strategico Giovani MIUR-Unibo “Metodi Entropici e Distanze di Similitudine”.

†giovanna.citti@unibo.it

Notable families of coherent states are those related to the affine group of the real line, that provide the Continuous Wavelet Transform, and the one obtained with Heisenberg group, whose associated linear decomposition is often addressed to as Gabor Transform, or Windowed Fourier Transform [13, 1, 24]. In this case, the action of the group is considered modulo its isotropy subgroup, i.e. the maximal subgroup that, when represented, contributes only with a phase term (for the Heisenberg group this is simply its center). This quotient indeed does not alter the previous arguments, but rather permits to attain the square integrability condition, so coherent states belonging to the definition adopted here are always considered orbits of the homogeneous space obtained by quotienting the group with its (possibly trivial) isotropy subgroup. Occasionally, representations restricted to these manifolds are referred to as a projective representations.

Definition 1.1. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a Lie group, π be a unitary irreducible representation of $\mathbb{G}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, ψ_0 be a nonzero vector in $\mathcal{H}$ and H be the maximal subgroup of $\mathbb{G}$ such that*

$$\pi(h)\psi_0 = e^{i\alpha(h)}\psi_0$$

for some $\alpha : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let then X be the homogeneous manifold $X = \mathbb{G}/H$. Coherent states for $\mathbb{G}$ are defined as

$$\{\psi_x \doteq \pi(x)\psi_0\}_{x \in X} \ .$$

When considering a linear decomposition on an overcomplete system, we end up with a redundant storage of information, which typically allows to perform the decomposition on smaller systems, that still can be total, and eventually possess additional properties. This is the case for discrete subsystems, such as orthonormal systems of wavelets [12, 29, 30] or families defined on possibly irregular lattices [28]. The properties of such subsystems, and in particular their completeness, or frame property, are generally related not only to the group structure, but also to the fiducial vector.

A well known property related to the fiducial vector occurs for canonical coherent states [23, 34, 27], that are orbits of the Heisenberg group (modulo its center) on a fiducial vector that is a minimum of the associated Uncertainty Principle [18]. In this case the coherent states transform, up to a weight, is known to be entire with respect to the complex structure associated to the corresponding symplectic phase space, and is called the Bargmann transform [4, 18]. This classical result allows to characterize the target space of the coherent states transform in terms of a (weighted) square integrability condition and of a smoothness condition, which translates the prescription of the uncertainty principle into a criterion of complex regularity.

In this paper we address a situation where there is no square integrability. This is the case of the special group of rigid motions of the Euclidean plane

$SE(2) = R_q^2 \rtimes S_\theta^1$, that is the noncommutative Lie group obtained as semidirect product between translation and counterclockwise rotations of the (see e.g. [36, 35]). The composition law is given by $(q', \theta') \cdot (q, \theta) = (q' + r_\theta q, \theta' + \theta)$ where r_θ is the ordinary counterclockwise rotation of angle θ , and its Lie algebra can be defined in terms of left invariant vector fields, which read [10]

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= -\sin \theta \partial_{q_1} + \cos \theta \partial_{q_2}, \quad X_2 = \partial_\theta \\ X_3 &= [X_1, X_2] = \cos \theta \partial_{q_1} + \sin \theta \partial_{q_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

This group is locally equivalent to the Heisenberg group, which is its metric tangent cone (in the sense of Gromov, see [5]), but globally it is not nilpotent, and only possesses trivial isotropy subgroup. Indeed its irreducible representations are the following.

Proposition 1.2. *For any $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^+$ there exists an irreducible representation of $SE(2)$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(S^1)$ given by*

$$\Pi^\Omega(q, \theta)u(\varphi) = e^{-i\Omega(q_1 \cos \varphi + q_2 \sin \varphi)}u(\varphi - \theta). \quad (2)$$

Due to the plane wave term, these representations are not square integrable, that means that there exist no $u, v \in L^2(S^1)$ such that the function $\langle \Pi^\Omega(\theta, q)u, v \rangle_{L^2(S^1)}$ belongs to $L^2(SE(2))$, where the Haar measure is the Lebesgue one. In order to circumvent this problem, several different strategies were proposed. In [14], the author restricted the family of coherent states to a two dimensional submanifold obtained as cotangent bundle of a coadjoint orbit, obtaining compactly supported admissible fiducial vectors; this method could later be extended to general semidirect product groups [1]. In [26], the authors could re-establish square integrability by making use of reducible representations constructed as direct integrals over finite intervals of parameters, a procedure that does not require compact support for the fiducial vector. Taking reducible representations and obtaining admissibility conditions over the fiducial vector is a procedure that rely on solid bases [21] and could be applied also to the left quasi-regular representation [17].

We will propose a different construction, that does not rely on square integrability but rather makes use of the Hilbert space to which the coherent states transform, defined by irreducible representation of the whole group, actually belongs. More precisely, given a vector $u_0 \in L^2(S^1)$, we will deal with a coherent states transform given by an analysis operator acting on vectors $\Phi \in L^2(S^1)$ as

$$A^\Omega : \Phi \mapsto A^\Omega \Phi(q, \theta) \doteq \langle \Pi^\Omega(q, \theta)u_0, \Phi \rangle_{L^2(S^1)} \quad (3)$$

and we will show that this operator is bounded on an invariant Hilbert space. Indeed, in Section 3 we will prove that

Theorem 1.3. *There exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))$ such that*

$$i) \ A^\Omega : L^2(S^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2)) \ .$$

ii) The left regular representation is unitary on $\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))$: let $\tau_{(q',\theta')}$ be given by $\tau_{(q',\theta')}f(q,\theta) \doteq f((q',\theta')^{-1} \cdot (q,\theta))$, then

$$\|\tau_{(q',\theta')}f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))}$$

for all $(q',\theta') \in SE(2)$ and all $f(q,\theta) \in \mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))$.

iii) the range of A^Ω is the reproducing kernel Hilbert subspace of $\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))$ with kernel

$$K^\Omega(g,g') = \langle \Pi^\Omega(g')u_0, \Pi^\Omega(g)u_0 \rangle_{L^2(S^1)} \ .$$

The construction of such Hilbert space will be grounded on the measure disintegration associated to the direct integral decomposition of the left quasi-regular representation, that we will discuss in Section 2.

Moreover, in Section 4 we will show that the key feature of the Bargmann transform still holds in the present case: if we choose a fiducial vector that is a minimal uncertainty state for $SE(2)$, then the target space of the operator (3) is characterized by a complex regularity condition which turns out to be a generalization to odd dimensional manifold of the analyticity condition. More precisely, we will obtain CR functions [3], and we will show with Theorem 4.8 that the coherent states transform (3) with such a fiducial vector is surjective on $\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2)) \cap CR$, and hence we will call it the $SE(2)$ -Bargmann transform. This complex regularity is directly related to the contact geometry of the group, and is defined on the basis of the almost complex structure that can be set on the contact planes by considering the manifold $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ as a real submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^4$ endowed with the same complex structure used for the Bargmann transform:

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2, z_j = (p_j + iq_j) \ j = 1, 2, \text{ such that } p_1^2 + p_2^2 = 1\} \ . \quad (4)$$

As we will see in a while, this is intimately related to the direct integral decomposition of the left quasi-regular representation, that roughly speaking amounts to restricting functions of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to circles in the Fourier domain. This perspective leads to Theorem 5.2, where the $SE(2)$ -Bargmann transform is obtained as a restriction of the ordinary Bargmann transform to circles in the cotangent variables.

An application of the theory presented, that actually motivated the whole construction, regards the behaviour of brain visual cortex when subject to oriented stimuli. In [2] was presented a model capable to reproduce the experimental results of cortical activities, and its main features can be properly interpreted in terms of the $SE(2)$ -Bargmann transform.

Acknowledgements Much of the motivations and hints for the present work arose in discussions with professor A. Sarti, that the authors would like to acknowledge as an important source of inspiration. Also the authors would like to thank professors F. Ricci and E. De Vito for helpful discussions and suggestions.

2 Hilbert spaces and measure decomposition

In this section we will define the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}^\Omega$ and $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ that will permit to carry out the proof of Theorem 1.3. $\mathcal{H}^\Omega$ is isomorphic to $L^2(S^1)$ while $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ is its pullback through the Fourier transform.

We will choose as (unitary) Fourier transform on the plane

$$\mathcal{F}f(k) = \hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ik \cdot x} f(x) dx$$

while we will use the right-antihermitian convention for both L^2 scalar products and distributions $\mathcal{S}'$ on Schwartz class functions $\mathcal{S}$.

The left quasi-regular representation of $SE(2)$ on $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ acts as

$$(L(g)f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x) \quad (5)$$

where $g = (\theta, q) \in SE(2)$ and $g^{-1}x = r_{-\theta}(x - q)$. By Fourier transform we obtain a representation on $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2)$ that is unitarily equivalent to (5):

$$(\hat{L}(g)\hat{f})(k) = e^{-ik \cdot q} \hat{f}(r_{-\theta}k) \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{L}(g) \doteq \mathcal{F}L(g)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$, indeed

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}L(g)f)(k) &= \int e^{-ik \cdot x} f(g^{-1}x) dx = \int e^{-ik \cdot g x} f(x) dx \\ &= e^{-ik \cdot q} (\mathcal{F}f)(r_{-\theta}k). \end{aligned}$$

By (6) we see that $\hat{L}(g)$ acts in an invariant way on each circle of the domain of $\hat{f}$, and it can be completely reduced along with the direct integral decomposition

$$L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2) \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^+}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}^\Omega \Omega d\Omega \quad (7)$$

where each $\mathcal{H}^\Omega$ is isomorphic to $L^2(S^1)$. This decomposition (7) was already used in [36] for the $SE(2)$ group, and represents a very special case of measure decomposition (see e.g. [21, Prop. 3.29]). The corresponding reduction of the left regular representation consists in considering its action on functions restricted to a circle of the Fourier domain. However this is generally not allowed for elements of $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2)$, and it is also problematic when coming back to spatial variables, since the localization in the Fourier domain

implies a delocalization in the spatial domain that leads to the loss of square integrability. Nevertheless, since $\hat{L}(g)$ is reducible on $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2)$, so it is $L(g)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and we will denote with $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ the irreducible Hilbert spaces in the spatial domain.

2.1 The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^\Omega$

We introduce a notation that permits to express function on the circle as restrictions of functions on the plane.

Lemma 2.1. *The vector space*

$$\mathcal{H}^\Omega \doteq \left\{ \hat{T}_u^\Omega \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid \exists u \in L^2(S^1), \text{ such that } \langle \hat{T}_u^\Omega, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{S}'\mathcal{S}} \doteq \int_0^{2\pi} u(\varphi) \overline{\psi(\Omega \cos(\varphi), \Omega \sin(\varphi))} d\varphi \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2) \right\}$$

is a Hilbert space isomorphic to $L^2(S^1)$, with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle \hat{T}_u^\Omega, \hat{T}_v^\Omega \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^\Omega} \doteq \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(S^1)} .$$

Moreover the set $\mathcal{H}^\Omega$ is the closure in this norm of the set

$$\left\{ \hat{T}_u^\Omega \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid u \in \mathcal{S}(S^1) \right\} .$$

Proof. The claim is immediate consequence of the fact that any element $\hat{T}_u^\Omega$ of $\mathcal{H}^\Omega$ univocally determines an element u of $L^2(S^1)$ and viceversa. The second assertion is a consequence of the density of $\mathcal{S}(S^1)$ in $L^2(S^1)$. $\square$

Given a function $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2)$, for any fixed $\Omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we will denote with $\hat{f}^\Omega \in \mathcal{S}(S^1)$ its restriction on the circle of radius Ω

$$\hat{f}^\Omega(\varphi) = \hat{f}(\Omega \cos(\varphi), \Omega \sin(\varphi)) \quad (8)$$

and define the operator $\mathcal{P}^\Omega : \mathcal{S}(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\Omega$

$$\mathcal{P}^\Omega \hat{f} \doteq \frac{1}{\Omega} \hat{T}_{\hat{f}^\Omega}^\Omega .$$

With this notation the $\mathcal{H}^\Omega$ norm $\|\hat{T}_{\hat{f}^\Omega}^\Omega\|_{\mathcal{H}^\Omega}^2 = \|\hat{f}^\Omega\|_{L^2(S^1)}^2$ reads

$$\|\hat{T}_{\hat{f}^\Omega}^\Omega\|_{\mathcal{H}^\Omega}^2 = \langle \mathcal{P}^\Omega \hat{f}, \hat{f} \rangle_{\mathcal{S}'(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2), \mathcal{S}(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2)} . \quad (9)$$

Remark 2.2. *We explicitly note that we can not extend the operator $\mathcal{P}^\Omega$ to the whole $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, since this would be defined only for a.e. Ω . Indeed if $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{f}(k)|^2 dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1} |\hat{f}(\Omega \cos(\varphi), \Omega \sin(\varphi))|^2 \Omega d\Omega d\varphi < \infty$$

so, by Fubini Theorem, (8) provides a square integrable function in S^1 only for a.e. $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

However, we will see in Proposition 5.3 that $\mathcal{P}^\Omega$ can be interpreted as a true projection on a Hilbert space direct integral decomposition.

We can see that the space $\mathcal{H}^\Omega$ can be expressed in terms of the group Fourier transform. In order to do this, we first restate a variant of [35, §4 Prop. 3.4].

Lemma 2.3 (Group Fourier transform). *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1)$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the $SE(2)$ Fourier transform of f*

$$\mathcal{F}_{SE(2)}f(\kappa) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1} dq d\theta f(q, \theta) \Pi^\kappa(q, \theta)$$

is the (compact) integral operator on $L^2(S^1)$

$$\mathcal{F}_{SE(2)}f(\kappa)u(\varphi) = \int_{S^1} d\theta \hat{f}^\kappa(\varphi, \varphi - \theta)u(\theta)$$

where we have used notation (8), and the Fourier transform is performed with respect to spatial variables.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{SE(2)}f(\kappa)u(\varphi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1} dq d\theta f(q, \theta) \Pi^\kappa(q, \theta)u(\varphi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1} dq d\theta f(q, \theta) e^{-i\kappa(q_1 \cos \varphi + q_2 \sin \varphi)} u(\varphi - \theta) \\ &= \int_{S^1} d\theta \hat{f}^\kappa(\varphi, \theta)u(\varphi - \theta). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now since S^1 has finite measure, we can perform the group Fourier transform on functions $f \in \mathcal{S}(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2)$ and it ends up to be a multiplicative operator

$$\mathcal{F}_{SE(2)}f(\kappa)u(\varphi) = \hat{f}^\kappa(\varphi) \int_{S^1} u(\theta) d\theta$$

so that the action of $\hat{T}_\Phi^\Omega$ can be written as a matrix element if the Fourier transform

$$\langle \hat{T}_\Phi^\Omega, \hat{f} \rangle_{\mathcal{S}'\mathcal{S}} = \frac{1}{\int_{S^1} u(\theta) d\theta} \langle \Phi, \mathcal{F}_{SE(2)}f(\Omega)u \rangle_{L^2(S^1)}$$

but also the operator $\mathcal{P}^\Omega$ can be related to $\mathcal{F}_{SE(2)}$ by

$$\langle \hat{T}_{f^\Omega}^\Omega, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{S}'\mathcal{S}} = \frac{1}{\int_{S^1} u(\theta) d\theta} \langle \mathcal{F}_{SE(2)}f(\Omega)u, \psi \rangle_{L^2(S^1)}.$$

2.2 The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$

In this subsection we present the dual results of the previous one. Duality is intended with respect to the Fourier transform on the plane, whose fundamental role is due to the intertwining (6).

Definition 2.4. *By Fourier duality on (9) we define the seminorm on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\Omega} \doteq (\langle \mathcal{P}_\Omega f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{S}'\mathcal{S}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_\Omega : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_\Omega \doteq \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{P}^\Omega \mathcal{F}.$$

Moreover, again by Fourier duality on tempered distributions, we define the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_\Omega = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{H}^\Omega.$$

The following characterization of $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ is straightforward, and it relies on the fact that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_\Omega}$ is a norm on a properly defined space of distributions.

Lemma 2.5. *The vector space $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ is the closure of the set*

$$\{T_\Omega \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ such that } T_\Omega = \mathcal{P}_\Omega f\}$$

with respect to the norm (10). It is a Hilbert space isomorphic to $L^2(S^1)$, with scalar product

$$\langle T_{f_\Omega}, T_{g_\Omega} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\Omega} \doteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\Omega f, g \rangle$$

and the Fourier transform is an isometric bijection between $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ and $\mathcal{H}^\Omega$.

Next proposition provides the explicit expression of the operator $\mathcal{P}_\Omega$.

Proposition 2.6. *For any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} i. \quad \mathcal{P}_\Omega f(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} f * j_0(\Omega|\cdot|)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y) j_0(\Omega|x-y|) \frac{dy}{(2\pi)^2} \\ ii. \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\Omega} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dy \overline{f(x)} f(y) j_0(\Omega|x-y|) \frac{dy}{(2\pi)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where j_0 is the modified Bessel of the first kind of order zero [36]

$$j_0(s) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{is \cos(\varphi)} d\varphi.$$

Proof. By Definition 2.4, the first claim reads equivalently

$$\mathcal{P}^\Omega \hat{f} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{F}(f * j_0(\Omega|\cdot|)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{f}(k) (\mathcal{F} j_0(\Omega|\cdot|))$$

and in order to prove this we only need to show that $\mathcal{F}j_0(\Omega|\cdot|) = 2\pi\hat{T}_1^\Omega$. This is true since

$$\begin{aligned}\langle j_0(\Omega|\cdot|), \psi \rangle_{S'S} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{i\Omega|x|\cos\varphi} \overline{\psi(x)} \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \overline{\mathcal{F}\psi(\Omega\cos\varphi, \Omega\sin\varphi)} = 2\pi \langle \hat{T}_1^\Omega, \mathcal{F}\psi \rangle_{S'S}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Up to isomorphisms, then, we have that $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ decomposes into a direct integral of the irreducible Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ invariant under the action of the left quasi-regular representation. The counterpart of (7) can indeed be obtained by the following corollary.

Corollary 2.7. *For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the following representation formula holds*

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{P}_\Omega f(x) \Omega d\Omega.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dy f(y) e^{ik(x-y)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\Omega \Omega \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dy f(x-y) e^{i\Omega|y|\cos(\varphi-\alpha_y)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\Omega \Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dy f(x-y) \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{i\Omega|y|\cos(\varphi)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\Omega \Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dy f(x-y) j_0(\Omega|y|) = \int_0^\infty d\Omega \Omega \mathcal{P}_\Omega f(x).\end{aligned}$$

□

3 A coherent states transform

The coherent states transform (3) turns a function Φ of one S^1 variable into the function $A^\Omega\Phi$ of three $R^2 \times S^1$ variables, that belong to $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ with respect to spatial variables and to $L^2(S^1)$ in the angular variable. In particular the geometric transformation performed by A^Ω actually preserves the Hilbert space structure of irreducibility described in (6).

In this section we will then prove Theorem 1.3 using such arguments, and see that the distributional approach allows to obtain a weak reconstruction formula.

Definition 3.1. We define the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2)) \doteq \left\{ F : \mathbb{R}_q^2 \times S_\theta^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \theta \mapsto F(q, \theta) \text{ is in } L^2(S^1) \right. \\ \left. \text{and } q \mapsto F(q, \theta) \text{ is in } \mathcal{H}_\Omega \right\}$$

endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle F, G \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))} = \int_{S^1} d\theta \langle F(\cdot, \theta), G(\cdot, \theta) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\Omega}.$$

To start the proof of Theorem 1.3 we consider point *i*) and prove:

Proposition 3.2. For any $u_0 \in L^2(S^1)$ the family $\{\Pi(g)u_0\}_{g \in SE(2)}$ is a tight frame between the Hilbert spaces $L^2(S^1)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))$, i.e.

$$\|A^\Omega \Phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))} = \|u_0\|_{L^2(S^1)} \|\Phi\|_{L^2(S^1)} \quad \forall \Phi \in L^2(S^1).$$

Proof. We first note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A^\Omega \Phi(\cdot, \theta), \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dq \int_{S^1} d\varphi e^{-i\Omega(q_1 \cos \varphi + q_2 \sin \varphi)} u_0(\varphi - \theta) \overline{\Phi(\varphi) \psi(q)} \\ &= \int_{S^1} d\varphi u_0(\varphi - \theta) \overline{\Phi(\varphi) \hat{\psi}(\Omega \cos \varphi, \Omega \sin \varphi)} \\ &= \langle \hat{T}_{u_0(\cdot - \theta)\Phi}^\Omega, \mathcal{F}\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{S}'(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2)\mathcal{S}(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2)} \end{aligned}$$

From here we immediately deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^1} d\theta \|A^\Omega \Phi(\cdot, \theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\Omega}^2 &= \int_{S^1} d\theta \int_{S^1} d\varphi |u_0(\varphi - \theta) \Phi(\varphi)|^2 \\ &= \|u_0\|_{L^2(S^1)}^2 \|\Phi\|_{L^2(S^1)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Since step *ii*) is a trivial consequence of the construction, we are ready to prove step *iii*) of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of iii). If we call $g = (q, \theta)$ and

$$u_g(\varphi) \doteq \Pi^\Omega(g)u_0(\varphi)$$

then the kernel $K^\Omega(g, g')$ reads

$$K_g^\Omega(g') = \langle u_{g'}, u_g \rangle_{L^2(S^1)} = A^\Omega u_g(g')$$

so if u_0 has unit norm, by polarization of Proposition 3.2 we have

$$\langle K_g^\Omega, A^\Omega \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))} = \langle u_g, \Phi \rangle_{L^2(S^1)} = A^\Omega \Phi(g)$$

for all Φ in $L^2(S^1)$.

□

3.1 Weak reconstruction formula

We now show how the reconstruction works in this setting. We recall that, due to the non square integrability of group representations, a standard linear superposition is not allowed. However, since the Hilbert spaces for the coherent states transform consist of distributions associated to functions on $S^1 \times S^1$, we can obtain the reconstruction of the linear functional associated to the analyzed vector.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\Phi \in L^2(S^1)$ and $A^\Omega \Phi$ as in (3), with u_0 of unit norm in $L^2(S^1)$. Then the following identity holds in distributional sense*

$$\mathcal{F}_{SE(2)} \overline{A^\Omega \Phi}(\kappa) u_0 = T_\Phi^\Omega$$

for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$. Explicitly we then have the following representation

$$T_\Phi^\Omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1} \overline{A^\Omega \Phi}(q, \theta) \Pi^\kappa(q, \theta) u_0 \frac{dq d\theta}{2\pi}$$

which means that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2)$, $\psi(k) = \psi(\kappa \cos \varphi, \kappa \sin \varphi)$

$$\langle T_\Phi^\Omega, \psi \rangle_{S'S} = \langle \Phi, \psi^\Omega \rangle_{L^2(S^1)} .$$

Proof. By computations analogous to those used for Proposition 3.2, we have that the distributional Fourier transform of $A^\Omega \Phi(q, \theta)$ with respect to spatial variables reads

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^2} A^\Omega \Phi(\cdot, \theta) = \overline{u_0(\varphi - \theta)} \Phi(\varphi) \frac{1}{\Omega} \hat{T}_1^\Omega \quad \text{a.e. } \varphi \in S^1. \quad (11)$$

Now by the normalization of u_0 and since $\Phi \frac{1}{\Omega} \hat{T}_1^\Omega = \frac{1}{\Omega} \hat{T}_\Phi^\Omega$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Omega} \hat{T}_\Phi^\Omega &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta u_0(\varphi - \theta) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^2} A^\Omega \Phi(k, \theta) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{dq}{2\pi} e^{-i\kappa(q_1 \cos \varphi + q_2 \sin \varphi)} u_0(\varphi - \theta) A^\Omega \Phi(\theta, q) . \end{aligned}$$

□

4 The uncertainty principle and CR functions

In this section we provide a characterization of the target space of the coherent states transform in case the mother wavelet is a minimum of the uncertainty principle for the $SE(2)$ group. It is the subspace of $\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))$ of functions which are *CR* with respect to the natural almost complex structure of $SE(2)$. This statement is the analogous of the well-known result of Bargmann [4], since it is stated in terms of an integrability and complex regularity condition, and for this reason we will call it $SE(2)$ -Bargmann transform.

4.1 Almost complex structures in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$

The manifold $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ can be considered a real submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^2$ given explicitly in (4), with the induced almost complex structure. This is a linear operator J on the Lie algebra such that

$$J(X_2) = \lambda X_2, \quad J(X_1) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} X_2 \quad (12)$$

where the constant λ arise from a plain rescaling of the spatial variables q .

Indeed the ordinary complex structure of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with respect to $z_j = p_j + i\frac{q_j}{\lambda}$ reads

$$J(\partial_{p_j}) = \lambda \partial_{q_j}, \quad J(\partial_{q_j}) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \partial_{p_j}$$

and when restricted to (4) it reduces to (12).

Since $Z = X_2 + i\lambda X_1$ is the antiholomorphic, or CR, vector field, i.e.

$$J(Z) = -iZ$$

we are then lead to the following definition.

Definition 4.1. *We say that a function $F : \mathbb{R}_q^2 \times S_\theta^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is $CR^\lambda(SE(2))$ if*

$$(X_2 + i\lambda X_1)F = 0 \quad (13)$$

where X_1 and X_2 are the left invariant differential operators given by (1).

4.2 The uncertainty principle

In [20, Th. 2.4] it is stated a general form of the Uncertainty Principle for connected Lie groups. In our situation the statement reduces to

Theorem 4.2 (Uncertainty principle). *Let X_1 and X_2 be the left invariant differential operators given by (1). Then*

$$\|d\Pi^\Omega(X_1)u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|d\Pi^\Omega(X_2)u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \geq \frac{1}{2} |\langle d\Pi^\Omega([X_1, X_2])u, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|$$

for all $u \in L^2(S^1)$. The inequality becomes an equality if u satisfies

$$(d\Pi^\Omega(X_1) - i\lambda d\Pi^\Omega(X_2))u = 0$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and we call such $u = u^{\lambda, \Omega}$ a minimal uncertainty state.

Proposition 4.3. *The equation for minimal uncertainty states of the $SE(2)$ group with respect to representation (2) reads*

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\varphi} + \lambda \Omega \sin \varphi \right) u(\varphi) = 0 \quad (14)$$

and we denote by $u^{\lambda, \Omega}$ its $L^2(S^1)$ normalized solution

$$u^{\lambda, \Omega}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{j_0(-2i\lambda\Omega)}} e^{\lambda\Omega \cos \varphi} .$$

We note in passing that the uncertainty principle can be stated in slightly more general terms, including mean values of the noncommuting operators. In that case, the equation for minimal uncertainty states becomes an eigenvalue equation. With respect to the present situation, the physical interpretation of minimal uncertainty states (14) is that of having zero average angular momentum [8].

Proof of Proposition 4.3. It suffices to show that $d\Pi^\Omega(X_1)$ is the multiplicative operator $i\Omega \sin \varphi$ and $d\Pi^\Omega(X_2)$ is the derivation $\frac{d}{d\varphi}$, that can be easily checked by direct computation. $\square$

Definition 4.4. We consider the family of coherent states for $SE(2)$

$$\left\{ \Pi^\Omega(q, \theta) u^{\lambda, \Omega} \right\}_{(q, \theta) \in SE(2)} \quad (15)$$

and call $SE(2)$ -Bargmann transform of a function $\Phi \in L^2(S^1)$ the coherent states transform with respect to the family (15)

$$\mathcal{B}_\Omega^\lambda \Phi(q, \theta) \doteq \langle \Pi^\Omega(q, \theta) u^{\lambda, \Omega}, \Phi \rangle_{L^2(S^1)} . \quad (16)$$

The following general fact provides the relation between uncertainty principle and CR functions, and actually motivates the previous definition.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a Lie group and π be a unitary representation of $\mathbb{G}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and let us denote with $d\pi$ the corresponding Lie algebra representation [36]. If X is a left invariant vector field and u_0 is a vector of $\mathcal{H}$ in the domain of $d\pi(X)$ such that

$$d\pi(X)u_0 = 0$$

then

$$X \langle \pi(g)u_0, \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$$

for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. By definition of left invariant vector field, if F is a smooth function on $\mathbb{G}$ then (see e.g. [11, Th. 3.2.3])

$$XF(g) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} F(g \cdot \exp(tX))$$

so, since π is a homomorphism

$$X \langle \pi(g)u_0, \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \pi(g) \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \pi(\exp(tX))u_0, \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

and by definition of algebra representation $\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \pi(\exp(tX)) = d\pi(X)$. $\square$

By Lemma (4.5), since $(d\Pi^\Omega(X_2) - i\lambda d\Pi^\Omega(X_1))u^{\lambda,\Omega} = 0$, then $\mathcal{B}_\Omega^\lambda \Phi$ satisfies equation (13). We then have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6. *Any function obtained as in (16) is $CR^\lambda(SE(2))$.*

4.3 The target space

These notions allow us to define the Hilbert space of surjectivity for the $SE(2)$ -Bargmann transform.

Definition 4.7. *We define the $SE(2)$ -Bargmann space as*

$$\mathcal{P}\mathbb{F}_\Omega^\lambda = \mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2)) \cap CR^\lambda(SE(2)) . \quad (17)$$

On the basis of this definition we can indeed prove the following.

Theorem 4.8. $\mathcal{B}_\Omega^\lambda : L^2(S^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbb{F}_\Omega^\lambda$ *is an isometric surjection.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.6 we know that the $SE(2)$ -Bargmann transforms are $CR^\lambda(SE(2))$ functions, while Proposition 3.2 and the normalization of $u^{\lambda,\Omega}$ ensure that this is an isometry.

To prove surjectivity, consider a function $F(q, \theta) \in \mathcal{P}\mathbb{F}_\Omega^\lambda$. Since it satisfies (13), its Fourier transform with respect to the q variables is such that

$$(\partial_\theta + \lambda\kappa \sin(\theta - \varphi)) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(\kappa \cos \varphi, \kappa \sin \varphi, \theta) = 0 . \quad (18)$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(\kappa \cos \varphi, \kappa \sin \varphi, \theta) = c e^{\lambda\kappa \cos(\theta - \varphi)} \Phi(\varphi) g(\kappa)$$

for some $\Phi(\varphi), g(\kappa)$. But since F is in $\mathcal{H}_\Omega(SE(2))$, then $\Phi \in L^2(S^1)$ and $g(\kappa) = c \delta(\kappa - \Omega)$, so any $F(q, \theta) \in \mathcal{P}\mathbb{F}_\Omega^\lambda$ is determined by a $\Phi \in L^2(S^1)$. $\square$

5 Relations with the Bargmann transform

In this section we will interpret the $SE(2)$ -Bargmann transform as the restriction of the ordinary Bargmann transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to the real submanifold (4).

If we denote Euclidean translations with τ and modulations with μ , i.e.

$$\tau(q)\mu(p)f(x) = e^{ip \cdot (x - q)} f(x - q)$$

then the Bargmann transform [4] is

$$\mathcal{B}_\sigma^{\mathbb{H}^2} f(q, p) = e^{\frac{\sigma^2 |p|^2}{2}} \langle \tau(q)\mu(p)g_0, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (19)$$

where g_0 is a normalized Gaussian of width σ

$$g_0(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

For notation purposes we recall the following well known result.

Proposition 5.1. *The Bargmann transform is an isometric surjection from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ onto the Fock-Bargmann space*

$$\mathbb{F}_\sigma = L^2(\mathbb{R}_q^2 \times \mathbb{R}_p^2, e^{-\frac{\sigma^2|p|^2}{2}} dq dp) \cap \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}_{z_1, z_2}^2)$$

with holomorphy with respect to the complex structure $z_j = p_j + i \frac{q_j}{\sigma^2}$

$$(\partial p_j + i\sigma^2 \partial q_j) \mathcal{B}_\sigma^{\mathbb{H}^2} f = 0 \quad , \quad j = 1, 2 . \quad (20)$$

In order to study the relation between (16) and (19) we note that the almost complex structure (12) is inherited by the same complex structure of $\mathbb{C}^2$ used for (20) with $\lambda = \sigma^2$. In particular this implies that (see e.g. [3]) if we restrict a function satisfying (20) to the real submanifold (4) we obtain exactly what we have called a $CR^{\sigma^2}(SE(2))$ function. Since the p variables are cotangent variables, i.e. they belong to the frequency domain, the deep relation between the two Bargmann transforms is exploited by means of a restriction of the function to be transformed to circles in the frequency domain, i.e. by means of the quasi-projector $\mathcal{P}_\Omega$.

The main theorem of this section is the following.

Theorem 5.2. *Let T_Ω be a distribution in $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ and $\hat{T}_\Phi^\Omega \in \mathcal{H}^\Omega$ be its distributional Fourier transform associated to $\Phi \in L^2(S^1)$. Then considering the extension of $\mathcal{B}_\sigma^{\mathbb{H}^2}$ to tempered distributions as in [4]*

$$\mathcal{B}_\sigma^{\mathbb{H}^2} T_\Omega(q, p) = e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 \Omega^2}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{j_0(-2i\sigma^2|p|\Omega)}}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \mathcal{B}_\Omega^{\sigma^2|p|} \Phi(q, \theta)$$

where $p = |p|(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$.

Proof. We first show that if f in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then

$$\mathcal{B}_\sigma^{\mathbb{H}^2} \mathcal{P}_\Omega f(q, p) = e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 \Omega^2}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{j_0(-2i\sigma^2|p|\Omega)}}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \mathcal{B}_\Omega^{\sigma^2|p|} \hat{f}^\Omega(q, \theta) . \quad (21)$$

This can be seen by Fourier duality since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_\sigma^{\mathbb{H}^2} \mathcal{P}_\Omega f(q, p) &= e^{\frac{\sigma^2|p|^2}{2}} \langle \tau(q) \mu(p) g_0, \mathcal{P}_\Omega f \rangle_{\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &= e^{\frac{\sigma^2|p|^2}{2}} \langle \mathcal{F} \tau(q) \mu(p) g_0, \mathcal{P}^\Omega \mathcal{F} f \rangle_{\mathcal{S}'(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2), \mathcal{S}(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{\sigma^2|p|^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{ik \cdot q} e^{-\frac{\sigma^2|k-p|^2}{2}} \mathcal{P}^\Omega \hat{f}(k) dk \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} d\kappa \kappa e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 \kappa^2}{2}} \int_{S^1} d\varphi e^{i\kappa(q_1 \cos \varphi + q_2 \sin \varphi)} e^{\sigma^2|p|\kappa \cos(\varphi - \theta)} \mathcal{P}^\Omega \hat{f}(k) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{j_0(-2i\sigma^2|p|\Omega)}}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 \Omega^2}{2}} \langle \Pi^\Omega(q, \theta) u^{\sigma^2|p|, \Omega}, \hat{f}^\Omega \rangle_{L^2(S^1)} \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and its dual statement Lemma 2.5 we can take f in $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$, thus extending (21) to the whole $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$. $\square$

Next proposition shows how we can use the operators $\mathcal{P}_\Omega$ as projection operators on Fock spaces, due to the smoothness of their elements.

Proposition 5.3. *The Bargmann-Fock space has the following direct integral decomposition*

$$\mathbb{F}_\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+}^{\oplus} \mathcal{P}\mathbb{F}_\Omega^\lambda \Omega d\Omega$$

and the operators $\mathcal{P}_\Omega$ are true projectors

$$\mathcal{P}_\Omega : \mathbb{F}_\sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbb{F}_\Omega^{|p|\sigma^2}.$$

Proof. Let us denote $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ the Fourier transform with respect to spatial variables. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^\Omega \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{H}^2} f \right) (k, p) &= 2\pi\sigma^2 M \mathcal{P}^\Omega \hat{f}(k) e^{-\frac{|k|^2\sigma^2}{2}} e^{\sigma^2|p||k|\cos(\theta-\varphi)} \\ &= 2\sqrt{\pi}\sigma e^{-\frac{\Omega^2\sigma^2}{2}} [\hat{f}]^\Omega e^{\sigma^2|p|\Omega\cos(\theta-\varphi)} \frac{1}{\Omega} \delta(|k| - \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

So, by (11)

$$\mathcal{P}^\Omega \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{H}^2} f \right) (k, p) = 2\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{H}^2} f_\Omega \right) (k, p).$$

□

6 The almost complex structure of the Heisenberg group

As an example of the application of Lemma 4.5 we recall the complex regularity of the coherent states transform associated to the Heisenberg group.

The n -th Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$ [18, 31] in its semidirect product form $\mathbb{R}_p^n \ltimes (\mathbb{R}_q^n \times \mathbb{R}_t)$ is defined by the group law

$$(q', p', t') \cdot (q, p, t) = (q' + q, p' + p, t' + t + p'q)$$

and we denote by ρ the Schrödinger representation on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\rho(q, p, t)f(x) = e^{it} e^{ip \cdot (x-q)} f(x - q). \quad (22)$$

It is well known that minimal uncertainty states can be constructed starting from the gaussian g_0 , that satisfies

$$a g_0 = 0$$

where a is the so-called annihilation operator

$$a = x_j + \sigma^2 \partial_{x_j}.$$

The operator a can be written as

$$i a = d\rho(X_j) - i\sigma^2 d\rho(Y_j) = d\rho(X_j - i\sigma^2 Y_j)$$

where $X_j = \partial_{p_j}$ and $Y_j = \partial_{q_j} + p_j \partial_t$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ together with their commutator ∂_t are the generators of the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{H}^n$.

By Lemma 4.5, then, defining the complex vector fields

$$Z_j = X_j + i\sigma^2 Y_j = \partial_{p_j} + i\sigma^2(\partial_{q_j} + p_j \partial_t)$$

we have that the functions

$$Af(q, p, t) = \langle \rho(q, p, t) g_0, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

satisfy

$$Z_j Af = 0 \tag{23}$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The representation (22) is not square integrable, due to the phase term produced by the center of the group, which is indeed the maximal isotropy subgroup. Since it is square integrable modulo the center, then, the coherent states transform is defined by

$$A_{\text{red}} f(q, p) = \langle \rho(q, p) g_0, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where

$$\rho(q, p) f(x) = e^{ip \cdot (x - q)} f(x - q)$$

is sometimes called the projective Schrödinger representation. In order to recover the differential constraint (23), properly projected on $t = 0$, it is however needed to add a weight $e^{\frac{|p|^2}{2}}$ to $A_{\text{red}} f$, ending up with the Bargmann transform, for which equation (23) becomes an analyticity condition.

References

- [1] Ali S.T., Antoine J.P. and Gazeau J.P., Coherent states, wavelets and their generalizations. Springer 2000.
- [2] Barbieri D., Citti G., Sanguinetti G. and Sarti A., An uncertainty principle underlying the functional architecture of V1. J. Physiol. Paris 2012, 106(5-6):183-193.
- [3] Baouendi M.S., Ebenfelt P. and Rothschild L.P., Real submanifolds in complex space and their mappings. Princeton 1999.
- [4] Bargmann V., On a Hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform. Part II. Application to distribution theory. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 1967, 20:1101.

- [5] Bellaïche A., The tangent space in sub-Riemannian geometry. In Sub-Riemannian geometry, Progr. Math. 1996, 144:1-78.
- [6] Blair D.E., Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds. Birkhäuser 2002.
- [7] Bosking W. H., Zhang Y., Schofield B. and Fitzpatrick D., Orientation selectivity and the arrangement of horizontal connections in tree shrew striate cortex. J. Neurosci 1997, 17(6):2112-2127.
- [8] Carruthers P. and Nieto M.M., Phase and angle variables in quantum mechanics. Rev. Mod. Pys 1968, 40:411-440.
- [9] Christensen O., Frames and bases. Birkhäuser 2008.
- [10] Citti G. and Sarti A., A cortical based model of perceptual completion in the roto-translation space. J. Mathematical Imaging and Vision 2006, 24(3):307-326.
- [11] P. M. Cohn, Lie groups. Cambridge 1957.
- [12] I. Daubechies, Orthonormal bases of compactly supported wavelets. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 1988, 41:909-996.
- [13] I. Daubechies, Ten lectures on wavelets. SIAM 1992.
- [14] S. De Bièvre, Coherent states over symplectic homogeneous spaces. J. Math. Phys. 1989, 30(7):1401-1407.
- [15] De Mari F., De Vito E., Admissible vectors for mock metaplectic representations. Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal. 2012, in press.
- [16] Dixmier J., Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations. Gauthier-Villars 1969.
- [17] Duits R., Felsberg M., Granlund G., ter Haar Romeny B. M., Image analysis and reconstruction using a wavelet transform constructed from a reducible representation of the Euclidean motion group. Int. J. Comp. Vis. 2007, 79(1):79-102.
- [18] Folland G. B., Harmonic analysis on phase space. Princeton 1989.
- [19] Folland G. B., A course in abstract harmonic analysis. CRC 1995.
- [20] Folland G. B. and Sitaram M., The uncertainty principle: a mathematical survey. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 1997, 3:207-238.
- [21] Führ H., Abstract harmonic analysis of continuous wavelet transform. Springer 2005.

- [22] R. Gilmore, Geometry of symmetrized states. *Ann. Phys.* 1972, 74:391-463.
- [23] Glauber R. J., The quantum theory of optical coherence. *Phys. Rev.* 1963, 130:2529-2539.
- [24] Gröchenig K., Foundations of time-frequency analysis. Birkhäuser 2001.
- [25] Hernández E., Labate D., Weiss G., A unified characterization of reproducing systems generated by a finite family, II. *J. Geom. Anal.* 2002, 12:615-662.
- [26] Isham C.J. and Klauder J.R., Coherent states for n dimensional Euclidean groups $E(n)$ and their application. *J. Math. Phys.* 1991, 32(3):607-620.
- [27] Klauder J. R., Continuous representation theory I. Postulates of continuous representation theory. *J. Math. Phys.* 1963, 4:1055-1058.
- [28] Kutyniok G., Affine density in wavelet analysis. Springer 2007.
- [29] P. G. Lemarié, Y. Meyer, Ondelettes et bases Hilbertiennes. *Rev. Math. Iberoamer.* 1986, 2:1-18.
- [30] S. G. Mallat, A theory for multiresolution signal decomposition: the wavelet representation. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell.* 1989, 11:674-693.
- [31] Montgomery R., A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications. AMS 2002.
- [32] Perelomov A. M., Coherent states for arbitrary Lie groups. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 1972, 26:222-236
- [33] Perelomov A., Generalized coherent states and their applications. Birkhäuser 1986.
- [34] Sudarshan E. C. G., Equivalence of semiclassical and quantum mechanical descriptions of statistical light beams. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1963, 10:277-279.
- [35] Sugiura M., Unitary representations and harmonic analysis: an introduction. Elsevier 1990.
- [36] Vilenkin N.J., Special functions and the theory of group representations. AMS 1968.